


Notice:

This issue of the *Frontiersman* contains contact information that is out-of-date. For current contact information, [click here](#).



Frontiersman

The Truth Is Within You
July 2001

Judicial Imperialism

Sam Aurelius Milam III

Increasingly, U.S. courts are hearing cases wherein they lack jurisdiction,¹ exercising instead an illegitimate, *de facto* authority.²

In the U.S. District Court in Manhattan, on May 29, four followers of Osama bin Laden were convicted in the 1998 bombings of two U.S. embassies in Africa. The bombings occurred in Kenya and Tanzania and only one of the defendants was a U.S. citizen. Over the other three defendants, therefore, and over the alleged crimes, the court lacked jurisdiction. The matter should have been heard by courts in Kenya and Tanzania, not by a U.S. court.

In June, the U.S. District Court in Alexandria, Virginia indicted 13 Saudis and one Lebanese citizen in the bombing of the Khobar Towers, in Dhahran, Saudi Arabia. The indictments were made under Title 18 of the United States Code. Neither a U.S. court nor the United States Code can have jurisdiction over foreign citizens and alleged crimes in foreign countries.

The behavior of these courts is completely illegitimate. Suppose, for example, that Osama bin Laden sent people to arrest a U.S. citizen for violations of Muslim doctrine, took the U.S. citizen against his will to Afghanistan, tried him in

an Afghan court, and put him in an Afghan prison. In principle, that's what the U.S. government is doing. However, the U.S. government doesn't have any jurisdiction over defendants or "crimes" in foreign countries. The fact that the "crimes" affected U.S. Embassies or harmed U.S. servicemen doesn't confer jurisdiction. Those are diplomatic matters. The usurpation of such diplomatic matters by U.S. courts is far more dangerous than "terrorist" bombings. The jurisdictions claimed are thinly veiled U.S. imperialism. It seems that Osama bin Laden is right about the U.S. government.

U.S. and "world" courts are increasingly guilty of such usurpations. They do so for the sake of opposition to such things as "genocide" (which seldom happens), "human rights violations" or "crimes against humanity" (which might be a matter of local opinion or, maybe, nobody else's business), "terrorism" (effective resistance), "drug abuse" (free choice), or any other complaint that some intrusive reformer can use as an excuse to impose his beliefs on others. The concept of jurisdiction seems to have disappeared. All that's needed for a court to exert authority at will (despotism) is for some intrusive reformer to demand it. Then, suddenly, the court has all the authority it needs to forcibly impose any decision that is desired by those in power (terrorism). Is this the so-called "rule of law"? If so, then give me anarchy. 🗡️

References

1. *Guilty On All Counts*, ABC World News Tonight with Peter Jennings, May 29, 2001
2. [14 Indicted In 1996 Saudi Arabia Bombing](#), NewsHour with Jim Lehrer, June 21, 2001
3. BLACK'S LAW DICTIONARY; Definitions of the Terms and Phrases of American and English Jurisprudence, Ancient and Modern, By HENRY CAMPBELL BLACK, M. A., FIFTH EDITION BY THE PUBLISHER'S EDITORIAL STAFF, WEST PUBLISHING CO., ST. PAUL MINN., COPYRIGHT © 1979 By WEST PUBLISHING CO.

¹ *Court of competent jurisdiction*. One having power and authority of law at the time of acting to do the particular act. One having jurisdiction under the Constitution and/or laws to determine the question in controversy.

—Black's Law Dictionary

² **De facto** In fact, in deed, actually. This phrase is used to characterize an officer, a government, a past action, or a state of affairs which must be accepted for all practical purposes, but is illegal or illegitimate. Thus, an office, position or status existing under a claim or color of right such as a *de facto* corporation. In this sense it is the contrary of *de jure*, which means rightful, legitimate, just, or constitutional. Thus, an officer, king, or government *de facto* is one who is in actual possession of the office or supreme power, but by usurpation, or without lawful title....

—Black's

Feminist Hypocrisy Continues

Sam Aurelius Milam III

For years now, I've been objecting to the arrogance, hypocrisy, and intolerance of the feminists. Lest anyone be deluded, those characteristics continue. So do my objections. The most recent example to come to my attention is the female-only public school in Spanish Harlem (Reference 1). After all the decades of the feminists whining about sexual equality it seems incredible, but it's true. It's a female-only public school. They're even bragging about how much better the girls do, lacking boys in the classes.

Now, don't get me wrong. I approve of sexual segregation. I think sexually integrated institutions are the stupidest idea since female suffrage. However, women have coercively intruded into every male-only institution in existence, from male-only high school wrestling teams (Reference 10) to male-only military academies (Reference 6). So, as long as they insist on destroying, by their very presence, the fundamental nature of male-only institutions, so long as they refuse to even allow the existence of such institutions, then they can certainly relinquish their hypocritical insistence on having their own female-only institutions. As long as they insist on the "equal" treatment of the sexes (a stupid idea), then it has to work the same for them as for us. Until I can have a male-only workplace, a male-only research team, or maybe a male only sports program, END THE FEMALE-ONLY SCHOOLS! ♂

References

1. *There Is A School In Spanish Harlem*, CBS Evening News, Sunday, June 24, 2001 (Some articles from the *Frontiersman*)
2. [*Elizabeth's Legacy*](#), November 1999
3. [*Hypocrisy about "Women's" Issues*](#), August 1999
4. [*Prescription for Disaster*](#), April 1998
5. [*Hootin' For Joy*](#), June 1996
6. [*Give Them an Inch and They Become Rulers*](#), September 1995
7. [*Female Taxonomy*](#), September 1995
8. [*Affirmative Distraction*](#), August 1995
9. [*Victim Factory*](#), May 1995
10. [*Penultimate Straw*](#), April 1995
11. [*Frankly My Dear....*](#), January 1995
12. [*Courting the Feminist Fatale*](#), September 1994
13. [*She Who Rides The Tiger....*](#), June 1994

Letters to the Editor

dear sam a.m.III,

good to see the [june edition of frontiersman](#). i quite like your views on things and totally agree with the statement 'the truth is within you'. heres a poem i wrote some time back. please consider it for publication in your newsletter.

good wishes, —sathyu; bhopal, india

YES

Yes,

I am a rabid optimist

For me

Every tree that continues to stand

Every stream that continues to flow

Every child that runs away from home is an indication that the battle is not only on
It is being won.

You may tell me about the nuclear arms race
And all I can tell you is that an unknown child held my hand with love.

You will try to draw me into the plateau of practical life

Tell me that not only god but all the religious and irreligious leaders are dead

And all I can tell you is that across the forest
Lives a young man who calls the earth his mother.

You will give me the boring details of the rise of state power after every revolution

And all I can tell you is that in our tribe we still share our bread

You will reason with me

And I will talk nonsense like this

And because the difference between breathing and living life is the difference between reason and poetry

I will read poems to you

Poems full of optimism

Poems full of dreams

And maybe a poem better than this.

—Satinath Sarangi (Sathyu)

From the Sambhavna (Possibility) Medical Clinic that provides free treatment to survivors of the december '84 union carbide gas disaster in bhopal, india and campaigns with survivors' organizations for justice and a better deal.

—www.bhopal.net
sambavna@bom6.vsnl.net.in

Re: [attachment in the 2001-06 newsletter](#)

It is a shame that criminals are read their rights while jurors are deliberately kept in ignorance. The only reason I can think of for this bias is the criminal justice system needs the criminals, but does not need jurors, to justify its

existence. Like most bureaucracies, the criminal justice system actually creates the problems it pretends to solve.

—Sir James the Bold; Sacramento, California

The following three letters are all in response to the [Timothy McVeigh Memorial Issue](#). —editor

[Regarding the article [Danforth's Folly](#), June 11, 2001 *Frontiersman*, page 4] Danforth made it clear to any thinking person (a rarity) that his investigation was supposed to fail, by design. In my opinion he was honest enough about it to make this known. The rest was a smoke screen to prevent censure. Working for the government does strange things to people with moral and ethical standards. The way he made his announcement and its timing suggests that he had already been briefed on what he was expected to do and not to do during his time as a special counsel. That he followed those instructions means his job with the government is more important to him than his moral and ethical standards.

—Sir James the Bold; Sacramento, California

... I'm featuring this miscarriage of Justice about Tim in the coming issue (July~August) of <<T.A.>>.¹ I already wrote once about it at the time of the events and My disgust for the system has not changed since...; — Keep up the good work!) —Peter; Vankleek Hill, Ontario

Sam,

Happened to be over a friend's house recently and noted a copy of Reader's Digest open; it had an article glorifying a BATF agent, with the usual "righteousness". What bothers me is not just the arrogance of the feds, but the sycophantry² of too much of the populace, especially those who call themselves "conservative".

Thoughts? —Joseph; Burbank, California

Here's a thought for you, straight out of [Milam's Notes](#). —editor

The right to armed revolution is one of the people's most basic rights. It is the function for which the ballot is proxy, and is the final protection against creeping authority. This right is sufficiently important that its attempted limitation by government is in itself cause for armed revolt.

—September 16, 1975

¹ *The Affiliate*, 777 Barb Road, Vankleek Hill, On Kob 1RO, Canada

² sycophantry — fawning over people in power. Pretty much the state of affairs among too many Americans, alas. —Joseph

Bulwer-Lytton Contest Results

Original Source Unknown. Forwarded by Steve, of Fremont, California

These are the 10 winners of this year's Bulwer-Lytton contest, wherein one writes only the first line of a really bad novel. (Victorian author Edward George Bulwer-Lytton is famous — or infamous — for writing the novel that began, "It was a dark and stormy night.")

10. As a scientist, Throckmorton knew that if he were to ever break wind in the echo chamber, he would never hear the end of it.

9. Just beyond the Narrows, the river widens.

8. With a curvaceous figure that Venus would have envied, a tanned, unblemished oval face framed with lustrous thick brown hair, deep azure blue eyes fringed with long black lashes, perfect teeth that vied for competition, and a small straight nose, Marilee had a beauty that defied description.

7. Andre, a simple peasant, had only one thing on his mind as he crept along the East wall: "Andre creep"... "Andre creep"... "Andre creep".

6. Stanislaus Smedley, a man always on the cutting edge of narcissism, was about to give his body and soul to a back-alley sex-change surgeon to become the woman he loved.

5. Although Sarah had an abnormal fear of mice, it did not keep her from "eeking" out a living at a local pet store.

4. Stanley looked quite bored and somewhat detached, but then penguins often do.

3. Like an overripe beefsteak tomato rimmed with cottage cheese, the corpulent remains of Santa Claus lay dead on the hotel floor.

2. Mike Hardware was the kind of private eye who didn't know the meaning of the word fear, a man who could laugh in the face of danger and spit in the eye of death — in short, a moron with suicidal tendencies.

AND THE WINNER IS:

1. The sun oozed over the horizon, shoved aside the darkness, crept along the greensward, and, with sticky fingers, pushed through the castle window, revealing the pillaged princess, hand at throat, crown asunder, gaping in frenzied horror at the sated, sodden amphibian lying beside her, unable to comprehend the magnitude of the frog's deception, screaming madly, "You lied!"[∞]



Nation in Distress

Oppose the tyranny of the U.S. government. Any means is justified.



Buck Hunter Shoots Off His Mouth

Dear Buck

What do you think of muslin for clothing?

—Seamstress

Dear Seamstress

I guess they dress that way because of their religion, especially the women.

Acknowledgments

• My thanks to Sir John the Generous <http://www.jwebster.com/> and Sir Donald the Elusive for their contributions.

• My thanks to *The Affiliate*, of Vankleek Hill, Ontario, <http://www.the-grand-barn.com/>, and *The Thought*, of Glendale, Arizona, <http://home.att.net/~guildmaster/wsb> for printing reviews of the *Frontiersman*.
—editor

Frontiersman

Cancellations — If you don't want to keep receiving this newsletter, print REFUSED, RETURN TO SENDER above your name and address, cross out your name and address, and return the newsletter. When I receive it, I'll terminate your subscription. You may also cancel by letter, e-mail, carrier pigeon, or any other method that gets the message to me.

Back Issues — Back issues or extra copies of this newsletter are available upon request.

Reprint Policy — Permission is hereby granted to reproduce this newsletter in its entirety or to reproduce material from it, provided that the reproduction is accurate and that proper credit is given. Please note that I do not have the authority to give permission to reprint material that I have reprinted from other sources. For that permission, you must go to the original source. I would appreciate receiving a courtesy copy of any document or publication in which you reprint my material.

Actual Writings on Hospital Charts

Source Unknown. Forwarded by Sir Donald the Elusive

- Both breasts are equal and reactive to light and accommodation.
- Examination of genitalia reveals that he is circus sized.
- The lab test indicated abnormal lover function.
- The patient was to have a bowel resection. However, he took a job as a stock broker instead.
- The pelvic exam will be done later on the floor.
- Patient was seen in consultation by Dr. Blank, who felt we should sit on the abdomen and I agree.
- Large brown stool ambulating in the hall.
- Patient has two teenage children, but no other abnormalities.

Submissions — I solicit letters, articles, and cartoons for the newsletter, but I don't pay for them. Short items are more likely to be printed. I suggest that letters and articles be shorter than 500 words, but that's flexible depending on space available and the content of the piece. I give credit for all items printed unless the author specifies otherwise.

Payment — This newsletter isn't for sale. If you care to make a voluntary contribution, you may do so. The continued existence of the newsletter will depend, in part, on such contributions. I accept cash and U.S. postage stamps. I will accept checks or money orders only by prior arrangement. I don't accept anything that will smell bad by the time it arrives or anything that requires me to provide ID or a signature to receive it. In case anybody is curious, I also accept gold, silver, platinum, etc. I'm sure you get the idea.

—Sam Aurelius Milam III, editor