

Notice:

This issue of the *Frontiersman* contains contact information that is out-of-date. For current contact information, [click here](#).



# Frontiersman

*Facing the truth, however great the cost.*

*July 2007*

---

**Future Shock, Present Apathy** Robert A. Heinlein were particularly useful in that regard.

Sam Aurelius Milam III

I began to read Science Fiction sometime during the late 1950s. For all of the subsequent years, I've continued to read it. My collection of Science Fiction is old and I'm not up-to-date with the latest authors and stories. However, many of those old stories are worthy of reading again.

Many of those stories were set in terrifying future societies, future at the time of course, in which draconian governments ruthlessly enforced various forms of brutal repression. Most of the people who lived in those terrifying societies were apathetic and complacent. They meekly accepted their brutal terrorist governments as the normal way of things. Of course, in order for there to be a story, there had to be some small group of stalwarts to whom things were not acceptable. Often, especially in the early stories of Robert A. Heinlein, the plot revolved around some brainwashed young member of the mindless majority who, through some personal misfortune or unexpected discovery in combination with his natural budding heroism, became a helpless rebel. If he fled aimlessly, which was likely, then he was rescued by members of the stalwarts who, it turned out, had been watching him covertly for years. He was just exactly the missing ingredient that they needed to spark them into long-overdue action and successfully topple the brutal regime. Sometimes, the plot elements and personal characteristics in the stories were somewhat contrived, even unlikely. That isn't true for all such stories. For example, the stories of Joe Haldeman are horribly plausible. However, the point of this is that the stories contributed to my understanding of the consequences of government policies. Some of the early stories of

Here's a problem. I expect that Science Fiction authors must necessarily write about different things nowadays because those old stories just aren't very terrifying any more. The terrifying future societies aren't future anymore. Most of the political horrors predicted in those old Science Fiction stories are now accepted as commonplace. The old horrors of future shock constitute today's normal government policies. The people today are apathetic and complacent. They've meekly accepted as normal the things that previously filled me with the fear of the future. Even the horribly realistic stories of Joe Haldeman are probably real today. We're waiting only for the facts to be released.

Meanwhile, where's the group of stalwarts? I started as a brainwashed member of the mindless majority. I gradually worked my way into an understanding of the tyranny and into an openly declared opposition of it. I've been opposing it for decades now but the group of stalwarts hasn't rescued me, not even when I was in jail in Santa Clara County. I'm just the ingredient that they need but where are they? I'm beginning to be afraid that there isn't any such group. Maybe there isn't anybody but me. Maybe I'm completely alone in this fight. I suppose that I can accept that if I must.

Here are two other possibilities. I'm getting too old nowadays to be the naturally budding young hero who's going to be rescued. Instead, I'll probably have to be the crotchety old geezer who creates the group of stalwarts. I'll have to start the group, plot tirelessly, and then rescue the budding young hero. We'd better hurry, both of us. It's uncertain which will happen first. The situation might deteriorate beyond all possibility of remedy or I might die of old age. ↵

---

Please use the enclosed envelope to send a contribution. I prefer cash. For checks or money orders, please inquire.

For PayPal payments, use [editor@frontiersman.my3website.net](mailto:editor@frontiersman.my3website.net).

Back issues are available at <http://frontiersman.my3website.net/>. Also see *Pharos* at <http://pharos.my3website.net/>.

## A Dangerous Game of Dress-Up

Rob Blackstock, May 4, 2007

Reprinted with permission from <http://www.lewrockwell.com/orig6/blackstock7.html>. Videos and other links noted herein are available on the referenced website.

This past weekend found me in Natchitoches, Louisiana, the oldest permanent settlement in the Louisiana Purchase and home of Lasyone's, the restaurant which makes the world's greatest meat pies. The residents of Natchitoches were having yet another music festival (there are more festivals than work days in Natchitoches) and revelers had arrived from all over.

Lined up along one side of the ancient, brick street was an immense gathering of motorcycles and their owners. Nearer to Cane River Lake were several horses accompanied by riders. Looking from the motorcyclists covered in leather, fringe, tee shirts with appropriate logos, and bandannas, to the horsemen wearing hats, boots, buckles and spurs, it suddenly dawned on me why both motorcycling and horseback riding are so popular. Both activities allow grown men to play dress-up.

When I was young, Harley riders were a hard lot. Tattooed, dirty, often possessing a criminal record a mile long, they were people to be avoided at all costs. Today, most Harley riders you see are professionals with a career, a mortgage and 2.6 kids. Riding a Harley and wearing the officially licensed merchandise allows them to pretend to be something they are not; a gang member, feared by decent folk. A desperado of the open road; an easy rider.

Weekend cowboys are no different. If a person honestly loved to be on the back of a horse and that was all there was to it, there would be no reason to spend \$100 on a Stetson and a giant belt buckle. Those accoutrements allow the rider to escape reality and assume a new identity; a lone cowboy riding the trail, looking for doggies lost from the herd. Weathered, grizzled. A dangerous hombre.

See? Playing dress-up.

I imagine that many a modern day Heck's Angel would throw his palm pilot down in complete disgust were he to hear me say such a thing. "Just wait until I finish the Davidson account," he would roar, "and then we'll see who's

playing dress-up!" Vroom! Vroom!

There is nothing wrong with playing dress-up. If you want to dress like a Confederate soldier and march around a field all weekend, go ahead. There is absolutely no harm in doing so.

My great fear is that there is another group playing dress-up today, and their actions do cause harm.

### The militarization of the police

How many of you remember the TV show SWAT from the mid 70's? Robert Urich and his team would roll into a dangerous situation in their big, blue van with the best theme music this side of Peter Gunn blaring in the background. As a child, I loved it. It appears that many other people my age also loved it. Since the early 80's, the number of SWAT team deployments has increased from approximately 3,000 per year to more than 40,000 every year.

40,000. Really. A 13-fold increase in 25 years. Why?

SWAT teams are now used by police departments to perform jobs that normal, uniformed officers once handled.

- Illegal gambling? People voluntarily coming together and playing Texas Hold-em? Send in SWAT! (see the video here)
- Suspect that a high school student might have marijuana in his locker? Send in SWAT and terrorize all of the students! [The school's] surveillance cameras and a police camera... show students as young as 14 forced to the ground in handcuffs as officers in SWAT team uniforms and bulletproof vests aim guns at their heads and lead a drug dog to tear through their book bags... No drugs or weapons were found during the raid and no charges were filed. (Source: ACLU)
- Elderly women in their homes minding their own business? Send in SWAT! Ms. [Kathryn] Johnston, who was at least 88 years old, was killed in a barrage of gunfire after narcotics officers burst through the front door of her home without warning last Nov. 21. Apparently fearing for her life, Ms. Johnston, who lived in a high-crime neighborhood, met the officers with a gun. (Source: NYT)
- Heck, let's just send in SWAT now and ask questions later! The CATO Institute maintains an interactive map tracking and documenting dozens of botched paramilitary raids throughout the U.S. →

---

Please use the enclosed envelope to send a contribution. I prefer cash. For checks or money orders, please inquire.

For PayPal payments, use [editor@frontiersman.my3website.net](mailto:editor@frontiersman.my3website.net).

Back issues are available at <http://frontiersman.my3website.net/>. Also see *Pharos* at <http://pharos.my3website.net/>.



[Times photo: Daniel Wallace]  
Citrus County sheriff's deputies Luther Willis, left, Jerry Dixon, Eric Barnhart and Dave Fields practice gaining entry to a building at a gun range.

Source of picture: St. Petersburg Times

But it doesn't stop there. Once a police department forms a SWAT team, the military attitude is adopted by the other members of the force. Today, we see not just SWAT members, but patrolmen moving about our towns wearing jump boots and flak jackets, just like the military. Unfortunately, once a person adopts the military mindset, the focus is no longer to assist, to help and to be the peacemaker, but rather to intimidate, to force and to destroy.

Look at what happened in MacArthur Park this past Monday. Police in riot gear (read: military garb) decided to disperse an overwhelmingly peaceful demonstration and assaulted with batons and rubber bullets everyone who did not cower and flee at their coming, including the female reporters attempting to film the despicable scene. Watch this video until the end and you will be reminded of China's Tiananmen Square as paramilitary-police batter a man attempting to support an American flag while another policeman uses his baton on a woman splayed in the dirt.

I fear things will become even worse in the future. The paramilitary-police are now being glamorized on TV once again. But this time the shows are not fiction as they were in the 70's but real life episodes. Dallas SWAT on A&E TV follows the (surprise) Dallas SWAT team as they carry out no-knock warrants on unsuspecting bad guys. The videos provided in the preceding link allow the viewer to watch the

SWAT team pump themselves up, destroy private property and drag dangerous perps from their homes. I'm still trying to understand why the police have a tank... probably to protect us from drug-dealing Soviets.

Nothing good can come of having the military patrolling our streets, and make no mistake, the police are becoming militarized, and doing so with the help of the Federal Government. How long before our towns are nothing more than caricatures of old Nazi movies where citizens rush home lest they be approached by soldiers asking for identification? ("Papers please!" But it must be spoken with a German accent. Also, any movie with "papers please," must also have the line, "You are veak, Fader, Veeek!")

Maybe I'm overreacting. But I don't think so. Since the Supreme Court threw out the "knock and announce" rule concerning police who serve warrants, there is nothing to stop SWAT teams from tearing off the side of your home, dragging you and your family out of your beds, seizing your belongings and shooting your pets while giving you little or no notice as to these invaders' identity. Amusingly (or not), several newspaper investigations have shown that less than half of all no-knock warrants have resulted in contraband or arrests. I'm sure that fact is comforting to people who have watched helplessly as their small children were seized and handcuffed by armed men wearing black hoods.

Until we, the honest citizens, say "no more!" to an armed military in our streets, things will only get worse. How long before we are the occupied territory? How long before we are the ones trapped behind the "blue" curtain? How long before our neighbors are "disappeared" in the night?

Maybe I'm overreacting. But I don't think so.

∞

Rob Blackstock teaches economics at Louisiana Tech University and is the Senior Economist for American Economic Services.

Copyright © 2007 LewRockwell.com

*I can remember when city cops wore blue uniforms. I recall my misgivings when they started wearing black ones. They reminded me of Nazi thugs in the World War II movies.* —editor

Please use the enclosed envelope to send a contribution. I prefer cash. For checks or money orders, please inquire.

For PayPal payments, use editor@frontiersman.my3website.net.

Back issues are available at <http://frontiersman.my3website.net/>. Also see *Pharos* at <http://pharos.my3website.net/>.

## From the Nesting Urge to the Wander Lust

Sam Aurelius Milam III

During the early part of my life, I was subjected to propaganda concerning the infamous feminine mystique. It began with my parents.

“Son,” Poppa solemnly intoned, “You’ll never understand a woman.” “You’ll never understand a woman,” my mother commented. For many years, I believed it. Eventually, it occurred to me that I understood women quite well. Keep in mind that everybody’s different, that most characteristics exist to some degree in each individual, and that the proportion of such characteristics is different in each individual. That said, some generalities nevertheless apply.

Whether the modern brainwashed career women like it or not, the inherent purpose of their gender is to reproduce. That nesting urge is inherent in them and it mandates that they demand security. Most of women’s behavior follows from that mandate. Historically, they’ve sought security by trying to attach themselves to good providers. Having acquired one, it was necessary that a woman be able to control him. Otherwise, his productivity might not be directed to her benefit. Over the ages, the reflex to control men became inherent in women. Even illicit affairs aren’t exempt. Indeed, women have often found lovers easier than husbands to control. They’ve often obtained sweeter largess from the lovers, with less effort.

Over the ages, women have evolved an arsenal of instinctive techniques, both attractive and abusive, for controlling men. The attractive techniques, for which a woman’s primary weapon is her body, are useful for most men. We all understand them and little more needs to be said about them except that, especially for a woman’s husband, they might eventually lose some or all of their effectiveness. When that happens, there’s a strong temptation for a woman to use her abusive techniques, for which the primary weapon is her mouth. It takes an extraordinarily good woman to resist that temptation. Since most women use the techniques, those techniques deserve a little further comment.

Many abusive techniques are possible. Sim-

ple nagging will often do the trick. For a more obstinate man, a woman can accuse him of shameful things that are untrue and do it in such a way that he can disprove her accusations only by doing what she wants him to do. “If you cared about the kids at all, then you’d...” “If you really loved me, then you’d...” “If you were a real man, then you’d...” are examples of the abusive technique that will usually shame a man into compliance, although they’ll probably lose their effectiveness after a while. They also tend to disable the attractive techniques.

If the abusive techniques cease to be effective, then it’s time for a change in sources of security. After that, a woman is likely to decide that the government is a better bet than a man. That, in fact, is the best of all possible arguments against female suffrage. There are two inevitable consequences of female suffrage. First, voting women will create a welfare state to provide them with security. Second, they’ll create a police state to enforce the welfare state. The nesting urges of enfranchised women will make such developments inevitable. So, divorces, welfare programs, and enforcement programs will increase as women are allowed to vote. After that, the government will provide the security. It will force a man, divorced or not, to pay a woman’s expenses. If he resists, then he’ll be treated like any other domesticated beast of burden that ceases to respond to the reins. Resisting the reins, he’ll be subjected to the spurs and to the whip.

A bachelor’s life, however, is a man’s natural condition. Social scruples and feminist legislation notwithstanding, we have two good feet, a nine month head start, and a wander lust. If women understood us as well as I understand them, then they’d notice that the harder they push us, the further away we get. They could motivate us with love. Then, maybe we’d all live happily ever after. Instead they abuse us, which activates our wander lust. As James Elroy Flecker said, “We travel not for trafficking alone: By hotter winds our fiery hearts are fanned.”

Sometimes, the hotter winds that fan our fiery hearts are woman. ♂

---

Please use the enclosed envelope to send a contribution. I prefer cash. For checks or money orders, please inquire.

For PayPal payments, use [editor@frontiersman.my3website.net](mailto:editor@frontiersman.my3website.net).

Back issues are available at <http://frontiersman.my3website.net/>. Also see *Pharos* at <http://pharos.my3website.net/>.

## American Flag Lapel Pin

Jim Sullivan

American Flag Lapel Pin wearing in this country is finally waning. You don't see as many as before on men's suits and sports coats on the street or on TV. Thank goodness! Many Americans, including myself, are just plain worn out and sore from being poked in the eye, so to speak, with those pins. Conservatives, by and large, and the odd Liberal, have been wearing them to imply, seemingly, that they're in full support of the Iraq War, the U.S. Commander-in-Chief, President Bush, and the American way.

Who did those people, mainly Republicans, think they were impressing? Perhaps themselves? The lapel pin certainly didn't impress liberals or progressives. And those pins surely didn't shame us into going along with this administration's inane war policies! The pins did, however, irritate us with their implications.

When you come down to it, aren't we all Americans? Do we need a flag on our lapel to prove it? I don't think so. Admittedly, if you're a member of, say, the Elk's Club, the Lion's Club, or the Rotary Club, all fine organizations to which I don't happen to belong, then it makes sense and is fine with me if you wear your membership lapel pin. Not everyone, of course, is a member. Therefore, wearing the pin is one way to tell the world that you belong.

However, if everyone is part of the same group, like Americans, then what's the point of

## Goofs

Original Source Unknown.

Forwarded by BLA, of Schertz, Texas.

Last summer on Lake Isabella, located in the high desert an hour east of Bakersfield, California, some folks, new to boating, were having a problem. No matter how hard they tried, they couldn't get their brand new 22 ft boat going. It was very sluggish in almost every maneuver, no matter how much power was applied. After about an hour of trying to make it go, they putted to a nearby marina, thinking someone there could tell them what was wrong. A thorough topside check revealed everything in perfect working condition. The engine ran fine, the

wearing a membership pin? Is it to let others know that you're a super patriot? If so, then keep in mind what Samuel Johnson, the English dictionary writer and author, once famously said, "Patriotism is the last refuge of a scoundrel!"

In my opinion, the conservatives' arrogantly flaunting the American flag on their lapels is dividing our nation. Oh, it isn't the only thing that's causing the major rift between left and right on the political spectrum, but it certainly is a major factor. That's because it implies that the wearer is a better American than a non-wearer.

By the way, if those conservatives are so much in agreement with the current U.S. chief executive, then why don't the super patriots wear their flag pins around the world? I haven't seen any statistics about it but I'm willing to bet that few conservatives wear their emblems outside of our borders. And they'd be wise not to. Thanks to the Iraq War, Americans aren't too popular overseas these days.

Finally, instead of wearing something like a lapel pin flag to show others that you're a true-blue, red-blooded American, why not do something worthwhile and meaningful for the country to indicate how you really feel? You could do any of several things: join the Army, pay more taxes to the federal government, or increase your savings. ∞

---

*...or support this newsletter.*

*—editor*

---

out drive went up and down, and the propeller was the correct size and pitch. So, one of the marina guys jumped in the water to check underneath. He came up choking on water he was laughing so hard. Under the boat, still securely strapped in place, was the trailer. ∞

## Stray Thoughts

Sam Aurelius Milam III

Diagnosis — When somebody consults a psychiatrist, his problems are probably all in his head.

Not Cost-Effective — For most people, owning a watchdog will cost a lot more than any burglar is ever likely to steal. ¶

---

Please use the enclosed envelope to send a contribution. I prefer cash. For checks or money orders, please inquire.

For PayPal payments, use editor@frontiersman.my3website.net.

Back issues are available at <http://frontiersman.my3website.net/>. Also see *Pharos* at <http://pharos.my3website.net/>.



Nation in Distress

Woman would be more charming if one  
could fall into her arms without falling into  
her hands.  
—from *Epigrams*  
by Ambrose Bierce



---

### Acknowledgments

My thanks to the following: Sir James the Bold; SantaClara Bob; Lady Jan the Voluptuous; Lord Jeffrey the Studious; and my mother.  
—editor

### Buck Hunter Shoots Off His Mouth

Dear Readers

I'll be here briefly to catch up on the mail. Then, I'm goin' travelin' again.

Dear Buck

What's academia?

—Elementary School Student

Dear Elementary School Student

It's a kind of nut.

---

### Frontiersman

**Cancellations** — If you don't want to keep receiving this newsletter, then print REFUSED, RETURN TO SENDER above your name and address and return the newsletter. When I receive it, I'll terminate your subscription. You can also cancel by letter, e-mail, carrier pigeon, or any other method that gets the message to me.

**Back Issues** — Back issues or extra copies of this newsletter are available upon request.

**Reprint Policy** — Permission is hereby granted to reproduce this newsletter in its entirety or to reproduce material from it, provided that the reproduction is accurate and that proper credit is given. Please note that I do not have the authority to give permission to reprint material that I have reprinted from other sources. For that permission, you must go to the original source. I would appreciate receiving a courtesy copy of any docu-

### Changing Times

Original Source Unknown.

Forwarded by Steve, of Fremont, California.

**Scenario:** Billy breaks a window in his father's car. Billy's dad gives him a whipping.

**1956:** Billy is more careful after that. He grows up normally, goes to college, and becomes a successful businessman.

**2006:** Billy's dad is arrested for child abuse. Billy is removed to foster care and joins a gang. Billy's sister is told by a state psychologist that she remembers being abused herself and their dad goes to prison. Billy's mom has an affair with the state psychologist. ∞

---

ment or publication in which you reprint my material.

**Submissions** — I solicit letters, articles, and cartoons for the newsletter, but I don't pay for them. Short items are more likely to be printed. I suggest that letters and articles be shorter than 500 words but that's flexible depending on space available and the content of the piece. I give credit for all items printed unless the author specifies otherwise.

**Payment** — This newsletter isn't for sale. If you care to make a voluntary contribution, then I prefer cash or U.S. postage stamps. For checks or money orders please inquire. For PayPal payments, use editor@frontiersman.my3website.net. I don't accept anything that requires me to provide ID to receive it. In case anybody's curious, I also accept gold, silver, platinum, etc. The continued existence of the newsletter will depend, in part, on such contributions.

—Sam Aurelius Milam III, editor

---

Please use the enclosed envelope to send a contribution. I prefer cash. For checks or money orders, please inquire.

For PayPal payments, use editor@frontiersman.my3website.net.

Back issues are available at <http://frontiersman.my3website.net/>. Also see *Pharos* at <http://pharos.my3website.net/>.