



Frontiersman

Facing the truth, however great the cost.
May 2015

Crash Site Recognition EgyptAir Flight MS843 May 7, 2002

Sam Aurelius Milam III

This is another in the series of articles in which I'm showing pictures of airplane crash sites. I intend the pictures to clarify the difference between what is and what isn't a crash site. It's important for people to be able to recognize the difference. It seems to me that the difference ought to be obvious but, apparently, some people believe that a situation is a crash site, regardless of obvious evidence to the contrary, just because the government says that it is. Study the pictures and learn to determine for yourself what is, and what isn't, a crash site. ↴

Crash Statistics and Description

Date:	07 May 2002
Airline:	EgyptAir
Flight No.:	MS843
Aircraft:	Boeing 737-566
Location:	Tunis, Tunisia
Fatalities:	14:62

—from AirDisaster.Com

The aircraft crashed while on approach to Tunis-Carthage Airport runway 11 while on a flight from Cairo. Shortly before the accident, the Captain reported a landing gear malfunction, and had performed a low pass over the airfield. The aircraft crashed during its second approach. Weather around the time of the accident was poor.
—from AirDisaster.Com



—EgyptAir Flight MS843
from AirDisaster.Com



—EgyptAir Flight MS843
from AirDisaster.Com

Letters to the Editor

The following message is in response to my editorial reply in the April issue, after Ptoxis commented about my article Predators. —editor

OK: You're right I don't have a clear understanding so I googled it....

The term pedophile is about people who are sexually attracted to children: to juveniles, to pre-pubescent people. Teenagers are not children. The term for an adult who is sexually attracted to teenagers -- to someone entering or in puberty, but who is not yet a full-grown adult is, instead hebephile or ephebephile. Unlike pedophilia, it is not listed in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders. A

paraphilia, for the record -- whether we're talking about pedophilia, zoophilia, coprophilia or any other -- is clinically defined as a persistent, pervasive and often sexual fantasy, urge or behavior. Most paraphilias involve objects of those attractions or behaviors which are inanimate, nonconsenting or unable to fully, clearly or informedly consent or which involve distress, humiliation or suffering on someone's part.

There's not a clear line there when we're talking about at what age difference we're talking about a relationship between an adult and a teen and two peers: that's somewhat arbitrary. Personally, I think that if you're asking about someone 18 or 19, for instance, that →

strikes me as pretty same-age to a 23-year-old, and is not something I'd consider hebephilic behavior. If we're talking about someone your age and someone 14 or 15, on the other hand, I'd say we're no longer talking about peers, particularly when we consider how much change happens on all levels in just the handful of years that are our teens.

IMHO it is still fucking CREEPY with a big "EW" factor - just like some old male/female is have sex with another person with a 40 age difference. —Ptoxis

Your mention of relationships between people of widely different ages brings to mind something that I read many years ago, probably while I was in college. I don't remember the name of the article or of the author. Anyway, the author proposed that everybody involved would benefit from marriages between middle-aged men and young women. Here are some of his comments, as I remember them.

By marrying a middle-aged man, a young woman would be getting a man who was old enough to have established himself. She wouldn't need to guess if he could support her and the children. She'd know what she was getting. The man would get that dream of all older men, a sweet young thing. She would be young and healthy enough to have children. The middle-aged man, when he married her, would already have a lot of experience with women. Thus, she wouldn't have to go through his learning process. He could satisfy her sexually, right away. A new bride might appreciate that. Given the age difference, the man would probably die of old age while his wife was relatively young, maybe at about the time that the children were leaving home. That would leave his accumulated estate for the benefit of his widow. She'd be free of him and the kids, relatively young, and have the residue of his estate for her own enjoyment. And, where would the middle-aged men have acquired all of that experience with women, while they were young? From those well-healed, experienced older widows who were out looking for fun.

Any problems that might arise in such marriages can just as easily arise in the kind of marriages that we have today. So, I don't see any particular disadvantage to the idea. I'm not necessarily either advocating or opposing such relationships. As with many other things, people ought to make their own decisions and

everybody else ought to mind their own business, "EW" factor or no "EW" factor. —editor

Greetings, Sam.

.... Everybody knows what the other guys should do and they want laws to make them do it. Why can't we all just live and let live?

Your April Frontiersman is excellent throughout and touches on many important subjects.

The Lufthansa crash demonstrates that the media is mostly image and very skimpy on content. The airline goofed but won't admit it.

On prison slavery I can only say that the purpose of the prison system should be to make law abiding citizens out of convicts. More on this later. It is one of my pet subjects right now.

On Black Annie and racism, have you noticed that a black person may be good or bad and can be just as racist as a white person? It is not the difference in race that matters. It is our attitudes. It is really not that difficult to get along if we do not classify others or ourselves.

Your comments on the flag issue were right on. The flag was indeed only a symbol of what the students disliked.

Best to you,

—Bob Link

Your "live and let live" idea brings to mind an article that I published in 1995, on page 2 of the September issue of that year. I suggest that everybody should read the article because it doesn't seem to have been used yet. There hasn't been any improvement at all. The things that I was fighting then, I'm still fighting now.

I can suggest a different point of view about the prisons. When you consider the nature of the legislation and the fact that citizenship is only another form of slavery, then maybe the goal of "law" abiding citizens isn't such a good idea. The prisons might already be performing a better service than that. My impression is that the prisoners understand the situation a lot better than most of my other subscribers. Maybe the prisons are destroying the brainwashing and creating a group of people who can recognize a police state when they see one.

Yes, I've noticed that blacks can be just as racist as whites. Racism is human behavior, not just white behavior. Surprisingly, blacks can sometimes be prejudiced against other blacks. Many years ago, I had a black girlfriend, let's call her GFH. Every time that →

we were out in public together, and another black woman came into view, GFH would grab my arm and start strutting. She was showing off her white boyfriend. On one such occasion, when the other black woman walked past us, she muttered in a stage whisper to GFH, "How sweet!" That's a true story. —editor

Dear Mr Milam;

Thank you, for carrying the truth forward and allowing the silenced to have a voice....

—Robert H. Outman
Prisoner P-79939

A Slave by Any Other Name

Sam Aurelius Milam III

The Fourteenth Amendment informs us that a citizen of the United States is subject to the jurisdiction of the U.S. government. The various dictionaries inform us that jurisdiction means power and control. Since the government doesn't regard citizenship as being voluntary, and since a citizen is within the power and control of the government, there isn't much difference between citizenship and slavery. Anybody who's in doubt can consider the way that the government controls the behavior of citizens.

There are three kinds of behavior in the United States: required behavior, prohibited behavior, and regulated behavior. If you're caught failing to engage in required behavior, then the government will punish you. If you're caught engaging in prohibited behavior, then

the government will punish you. If you're caught engaging in regulated behavior without a license or a permit, then the government will punish you. If you try to resist the punishment, then the government will initiate the use of force against you. If you try to resist the use of force against you, then the government will kill you. The authority of a master to punish or kill a slave verifies the nature of the relationship. ¶

Stray Thoughts

Sam Aurelius Milam III

- If the only thing that you know about a situation is what the government told you, then you don't know much about the situation.
- Revolutions are not caused by dissatisfied people. Such people are usually happy to become either liberals or criminals. They won't become revolutionaries unless they're forced to do so by intolerable government. ¶

Smitten With Embarrassment Department (Seldom Used)

Sam Aurelius Milam III

In the April 2013 *Frontiersman*, I wrote an article about an *NCIS* episode named *Chained*. Among other comments, I criticized the writers for a scene in which DiNozzo and a prisoner changed clothes while they were handcuffed together. While I was writing the article, I started to doubt my memory. Could the writers really have made such a stupid mistake? To check on it, I bought the DVD and watched that sequence on my computer, several times,

in step-frame mode. Yes, while DiNozzo and the prisoner were completing the change-of-clothes scene, they definitely stayed handcuffed together. So far as I could tell, the seemingly impossible change-of-clothes wasn't explained.

In spite of the fact that the team members on *NCIS* tend to behave like Nazi thugs, it's an entertaining show. I'm quite a fan. I've seen most of the episodes several times and, this past March, I watched *Chained* again. Here's the problem. While I was watching that episode again, I noticed in a subsequent scene, after the change-of-clothes scene, that the shirt sleeves on the hand-cuffed arms of both men appeared to have been cut from cuff to shoulder, and then crudely mended. See the picture. The scene is in a truck in a wooded region and, consequently, it's poorly lighted and difficult to see. It appears very briefly, for only a second or less. So, it's easy to understand how I missed it. I haven't noticed any other scene in the entire episode in which the condition of the sleeves is visible. Even so, it appears that the writers didn't make the stupid error of which I accused them. Consequently, I'm smitten with embarrassment. ¶





Nation in Distress

The first lesson of economics is scarcity: there is never enough of anything to fully satisfy all those who want it. The first lesson of politics is to disregard the first lesson of economics.

—Thomas Sowell



Acknowledgments

My thanks to the following: SantaClara Bob; Lady Jan the Voluptuous; my mother; Dewey and Betty; and Robert, of Ione, California.

—editor

Support This Newsletter

Shop at The Moonlight Flea Market.
<http://moonlight-flea-market.com/>

Visit Some of My Websites

Pharos

<http://pharos.org.uk/>

The Sovereign's Library

<http://sovereign-library.org.uk/>

My personal website

<http://sam-aurelius-milam-iii.org.uk/>

Actual Classified Advertisements

Original Source Unknown. Forwarded by Lady Nancy the Enchanting.

- Soft & Genital Bath Tissues or Facial Tissue 89 Cents.
- German Shepherd 85 Lbs. Neutered. Speaks German. Free.
- Free 1 Can of Pork & Beans With Purchase of 3 Br 2 Bath Home.
- For Sale: Lee Majors (6 Million Dollar Man) — \$50.
- Nordic Track \$300 Hardly Used Call Chubbie.
- Bill's Septic Cleaning "We Haul American Made Products".
- Shakespeare's Pizza — Free Chopsticks.
- Found: Dirty White Dog. Looks Like a Rat. Been Out a While. Better Be a Reward.
- Hummels — Largest Selection Ever "If It's in Stock, We Have It!" ∞

Frontiersman

Availability — Assuming the availability of sufficient funds, subscriptions to this newsletter in print, copies of past issues in print, and copies of the website on CDs are available upon request. All past issues are available at <http://frontiersman.org.uk/>. Contributions are welcome.

Cancellations — If you don't want to keep receiving this newsletter, then return it unopened. When I receive it, I'll terminate your subscription.

Reprint Policy — Permission is hereby granted to reproduce this newsletter in its entirety or to reproduce material from it, provided that the reproduction is accurate and that proper credit is given. I do not have the authority to give permission to reprint material that I have reprinted from other sources. For that permission, you must apply to the original source. I would appreciate

receiving a courtesy copy of any document or publication in which you reprint my material.

Submissions — I consider letters, articles, and cartoons for the newsletter, but I don't pay for them. Short items are more likely to be printed. I suggest that letters and articles be shorter than 500 words but that's flexible depending on space available and the content of the piece.

Payment — This newsletter isn't for sale. If you want to make a voluntary contribution, then I prefer cash or U.S. postage stamps. For checks or money orders, please inquire. For PayPal payments, use editor@frontiersman.org.uk. In case anybody's curious, I also accept gold, silver, platinum, etc. I don't accept anything that requires me to provide ID to receive it.

—Sam Aurelius Milam III, editor