



Frontiersman

Facing the truth, however great the cost.

August 2015

Crash Site Recognition

Garuda Indonesia Flight 421 January 16, 2002

Sam Aurelius Milam III

This is another of the short articles in which I'm presenting pictures of crash sites. I intend for the pictures to clarify the difference between what is and what isn't a

crash site. It's important for people to be able to tell the difference, because the government will lie about it. In the pictures, notice the size and the visibility of the wreckage. Learn to recognize what is, and what isn't, a crash site, so as to not be deceived by government lies and propaganda.

Crash Statistics and Description

Date:	16 January 2002
Airline:	Garuda Indonesia
Flight No.:	421
Aircraft:	Boeing 737-3Q8
Location:	Klaten, Indonesia
Fatalities:	1:60

—from AirDisaster.Com

The aircraft was on a flight from Lombok to Yogyakarta when the crew was forced to make an emergency landing in the Benjawang Solo River, approximately 14 miles from their destination airport of Yogyakarta. Weather at the time of the accident was poor. A flight attendant was reportedly killed in the accident.

—from AirDisaster.Com



—Garuda Indonesia Flight 421
from AirDisaster.Com



—Garuda Indonesia Flight 421
from AirDisaster.Com

Letter to the Editor

Dear Comrade Sam,

Greetings to you my friend. And thank you for the essay *Cosmology and the Law of Parsimony* [*available on Pharos*], and also thank you for your June 2015 newsletter....

Now about your June 2015 issue of *Frontiersman*. Thank you for printing another one of my letters. I wanted to comment more on this issue, which I can't because of these pigs confiscating so much of my property. But from memory I read something about black people only making a big deal out of police killing black people, and don't make any noise/protest when they kill white people [*High Hopes*, page

3]. Well Sam, I don't know what kind of black folks you have had experience with, but me and my brothers will speak out and protest and retaliate for any victim of police/"peace officers" murder and brutality. No matter race, age, gender or sexual preference. But if you can, please share with me any murder you know of where police shot an unarmed white man running away in his back 8 times?! Or share with me a killing of a white man who was choked to death for selling loose cigarettes on a street corner?! Or please share with me a like murder of a white child, who was playing with a toy gun, and the pigs just jumped out and shot him dead?! Or please share with me 2 like inci- →

dents where a police mistakes his real gun for a taser and shoots a white suspect killing him?! If you can, I will personally make a lot of noise about these crimes by the police toward white suspects. I really will Sam....

—Ramon D. Hontiveros P-34034
CSP/SAC B3 SHU-105
P.O. Box 290066
Represa, California 95671

In my article, I didn't try to address the question of whether or not the cops kill more black people than white people. They probably do but I tried, instead, to make the point that the cops shouldn't kill either black people or white people. It isn't a cop's job to kill people, except in a police state. I also stated my perception that members of black communities don't seem to react when the cops kill white people. It seems to me that they react only when the cops kill black people. I asked if that might suggest that black people are just as racially prejudiced as white people. I wouldn't mention it at all except that

black people have made such a big deal out of accusing white people of being bigots. It's commendable that you'll stand up for people of any race but that doesn't disprove the existence of the attitudes that, as I perceive them, seem to be common in the black communities.

I suggest that such attitudes aren't just a white thing or just a black thing. They aren't even just a race thing. I had a friend who refused to ride with me because he rode a Harley and I rode a Honda. It suggests to me that people have an inherent bigotry reflex. Thus, I expect that if all of the races were to be somehow magically blended together into a tan-colored race by tomorrow morning, then people would still find ways to be bigoted. People have been that way for a long time and I don't know if there's any way to fix it. If we can't persuade people (not force, just persuade) to behave better, then at least we can try to improve our own behavior. That, by itself, might be a sufficient challenge.

—editor

Hopefully A 30%er

Sticky, of San Diego, California

Several months ago, one of my good friends was released from prison after serving just shy of fourteen (14) years. He said he was scared. Scared of how different life will be; scared of meeting his kids, again, after so many years; scared if those kids will even know who he is; scared of making one little bullshit mistake and being sent back. I don't blame him for his concerns. He will be on "High Control" parole, which means his leash will be quite short. He will be required to check in more frequently and probably told to fill a bottle, every time.

I have stayed in contact with other guys who have been released, over the years. Most tell me how difficult it is to find (and maintain) employment. The fact that we have a prison conviction on our permanent jacket must be divulged when applying for said employment, which gets the scrutiny ball rolling right from the get-go. Most reputable companies will not even consider an ex-con, limiting us even more. Those that do consider us do not pay very well.

If that was not a big enough setback, most

parole officers want a guy to frequently leave work early, so he can report and fill the previously mentioned urinalysis bottle. What employer wants to hire a person who has to leave work early all the time, or has a P.O. dropping in, unannounced? When I was released from my first term, that was how it was.

In this state, they say the recidivism rate is something like 70%. That number seems a bit high to me, but I suppose it is possible. My friend has no one outside of these walls. He has no place to go. The ex-wife has remarried and resides in another state. He will be allowed visitation with the kids *if* she brings them and he will not be *allowed* to go to them. So, after 1 1/2 decades of incarceration, California handed him a whopping \$200 and a state I.D., expecting him to make it. I do not envy what lies ahead of him, but I wish I could somehow help. I am happy my friend was released; happy he will get to see his children again; happy he will restart his life; just happy in general. I hope I do not see him again on this side of the wall. I hope he is one of the 30%. ∞

Stray Thoughts

Sam Aurelius Milam III

- Revolution doesn't consist of changing the government. It consists of changing yourself.
- Identity theft happens when you get a Social Security number.

- Don't fire a warning shot. It's a waste of ammunition and it reveals your location.
- People who hold motherhood in high esteem and lust in ill repute are fundamentally confused people. 🔪

Somnambulant Society

Sam Aurelius Milam III

I went to Wal-Mart recently to buy a surge suppresser. That's a power strip that, in addition to providing power to electrical equipment, also protects the equipment from power surges in the power line. They had at least a dozen different kinds. Each kind had a different combination of features. The main thing that they all had in common was that they were all mislabeled. Each one of them was labeled "surge protector".

To suppress something is to push it down, to reduce its magnitude, freedom, variability, or some such thing as that. To protect something is pretty much the opposite, that is, to preserve whatever condition or characteristics it already has. Thus, a surge suppresser would be a device that suppresses surges. A surge protector would be a device that protects surges. That's the way the rules of grammar are supposed to work in this language. So, there's a serious problem here. The two terms are opposites, yet people use one for the other. Why do they do that? Maybe it's a consequence of being "educated" in the government schools, which do a lot more brainwashing than educating.¹ Actually, it's even worse than brainwashing, but I didn't realize that until just recently.

While I was trying to write this article, I was thinking a lot about Orwell's book *1984*, about doublethink, Winston Smith and The Ministry of Truth, Ingsoc, *The Newspeak Dictionary*, and the goals of the Party. As I worked on the article, an unexpected thing happened. I realized that, during all of my years of thinking about the book, I'd been missing something important. I hadn't followed Orwell's teaching far enough. He wasn't only trying to teach us about brainwashing. He was trying to teach us about conditioning. Now, in retrospect, it seems so obvious that I wonder why I didn't see it sooner. Maybe I still haven't completely recovered from my own conditioning. After all, I did spend 19 years in the government schools and 15 years in the government corporations.

Anyway, brainwashing involves propaganda, misinformation, the promulgation of false assumptions, and so forth. It's a response of a police state to the necessity of dealing with people who can think. In a police state, thinking is a threat to orthodoxy, and must be repressed or

controlled. People must be discouraged from arriving at unapproved conclusions. They must be manipulated into arriving at desired conclusions. Unapproved conclusions can be discouraged by punishing people who arrive at them. In Orwell's book, thoughtcrime was one of the aspects of that control. Today, hate crime is such a tool. Hate crime, like thoughtcrime, addresses not what people do, but what they think. People can be made to arrive at the desired conclusions by controlling the context of their thinking. Thus, the propaganda, misinformation, and promulgation of false assumptions, previously mentioned. What's important, however, is that regardless of all of the punishment and manipulation, brainwashing still allows thinking, which is a conscious process. Such things gang aft a-gley. A police state needs something more reliable than that.

The whole climate of thought will be different. In fact there will *be* no thought, as we understand it now. Orthodoxy means not thinking—not needing to think. Orthodoxy is unconsciousness....

—from *1984*, Section One, Chapter V
by George Orwell

Ultimately it was hoped to make articulate speech issue from the larynx without involving the higher brain centers at all....

—from *1984*, Appendix
by George Orwell²

Brainwashing promotes incorrect thinking. Conditioning eliminates thinking. Conditioning is to not think at all, but merely to respond. So, everybody needs to be properly conditioned. Maybe that's why attendance at the government schools is mandatory, so that nobody can avoid the conditioning. Maybe the mislabeling of the surge suppressers is a test, to see if it's working. Consider that when *protection* and *suppression* (the words) mean the same thing, then the difference between *protection* and *suppression* (the ideas) will disappear. After that, the government can tell people that it's protecting their liberty when, in fact, it's suppressing their liberty.

It doesn't really matter if the conditioning is the result of an actual conspiracy or of the normal modus operandi of all governments. Either way, if people have been successfully conditioned, then they won't think about things at all. They'll just behave as required, wave the flag, and love Big Brother.

² See additional excerpts in *The Sovereign's Library*.

¹ See *Enemies of Liberty*, page 2, April 2011.



Nation in Distress

The real goal should be reduced government spending, rather than balanced budgets achieved by ever rising tax rates to cover ever rising spending.

—Thomas Sowell



Acknowledgments

My thanks to the following: SantaClara Bob; Lady Jan the Voluptuous; my mother; and Betty.

—editor

Support This Newsletter

Shop at The Moonlight Flea Market.

<http://moonlight-flea-market.com/>

Visit Some of My Websites

Pharos

<http://pharos.org.uk/>

The Sovereign's Library

<http://sovereign-library.org.uk/>

My personal website

<http://sam-aurelius-milam-iii.org.uk/>

Blonde Joke

Original Source Unknown. Forwarded by Don G.

Q: What do you call a blonde with a whole brain?

A: A Golden Retriever.

∞

Answering Machine Announcements

Attributed to the International Institute of Answering Machine Answers. Forwarded by Steve, of Mililani, Hawaii.

• Hello. I am David's answering machine. What are you?

• Hello! If you leave a message, I'll call you soon. If you leave a sexy message, I'll call sooner!

• Hi! John's answering machine is broken. This is his refrigerator. Please speak very slowly, and I'll stick your message to myself with one of these magnets.

• Hello, you are talking to a machine. I am capable of receiving messages. My owners do not need siding, windows, or a hot tub, and their carpets are clean. They give to charity through their office and do not need their picture taken. If you're still with me, then leave your name and number and they will get back to you.

∞

Frontiersman

Availability — Assuming the availability of sufficient funds, subscriptions to this newsletter in print, copies of past issues in print, and copies of the website on CDs are available upon request. All past issues are available at <http://frontiersman.org.uk/>. Contributions are welcome.

Cancellations — If you don't want to keep receiving this newsletter, then return it unopened. When I receive it, I'll terminate your subscription.

Reprint Policy — Permission is hereby granted to reproduce this newsletter in its entirety or to reproduce material from it, provided that the reproduction is accurate and that proper credit is given. I do not have the authority to give permission to reprint material that I have reprinted from other sources. For that permission, you must apply to the original source. I would appreciate

receiving a courtesy copy of any document or publication in which you reprint my material.

Submissions — I consider letters, articles, and cartoons for the newsletter, but I don't pay for them. Short items are more likely to be printed. I suggest that letters and articles be shorter than 500 words but that's flexible depending on space available and the content of the piece.

Payment — This newsletter isn't for sale. If you want to make a voluntary contribution, then I prefer cash or U.S. postage stamps. For checks or money orders, please inquire. For PayPal payments, use editor@frontiersman.org.uk. In case anybody's curious, I also accept gold, silver, platinum, etc. I don't accept anything that requires me to provide ID to receive it.

—Sam Aurelius Milam III, editor